Abstract: Gastric lesions are a common problem in intensive pig production and it is often detected at slaughter. The current study aims to evaluate the prevalence of gastric lesions in finishing and breeding pigs at the time of slaughter. It was conducted in a slaughterhouse in Franca region – São Paulo State, from October to November 2013 and in July 2014. Four hundred (400) stomachs from slaughtered pigs were analyzed for the presence of macroscopic lesions suggesting gastric ulcer. Scores from 0 to 4 were attributed to the stomachs depending on the extent of the lesions, namely: score 0- Normal stomach; score 1- stomach showing parakeratosis; score 2- stomach showing active or chronic ulcer covering less than 33% of the Pars oesophagea; score 3- stomach showing active or chronic ulcer covering from 33 to 66% of the Pars oesophagea; score 4- stomach showing active or chronic ulcer covering more than 66% of the Pars oesophagea. Score-3 lesions – i.e., lesions showing active or chronic ulcer covering from 33 to 66% of the Pars oesophagea - were most frequently found, thus corresponding to 43.2% (173/ 400) of the evaluated organs. Few stomachs (5/400) showed intact mucosae, i.e., they were score 0, and corresponded to 1.25% of the evaluated stomachs. It was concluded that the prevalence of gastric lesions in pigs from intensive system pig farm was 98.75% and that the active or chronic ulcer covering from 33 to 66% of the Pars oesophagea was the most frequently found lesion.
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As lesões gástricas são um problema comum na produção intensiva de suínos e é, frequentemente, detectada durante o abate. Com este estudo objetivou-se avaliar a prevalência de lesões gástricas em suínos após o abate. O trabalho foi realizado em um abatedouro-frigorífico na região de Franca – SP, compreendendo o período de outubro a novembro de 2013 e julho de 2014. Foram analisados 400 estômagos de suínos abatidos para observar-se a presença de lesões macroscópicas sugestivas de úlcera gástrica. De acordo com o grau das lesões foram atribuídos escore de 0 a 4, onde: escore 0: estômago normal, escore 1: estômago com parakeratose; escore 2: estômago com presença de úlcera ativa ou crônica abrangendo menos de 33% da Pars oesophagea; escore 3: estômago com presença de úlcera ativa ou crônica, abrangendo 33 a 66% da Pars oesophagea; escore 4: estômago com presença de úlcera ativa ou crônica abrangendo mais de 66% da Pars oesophagea. As lesões mais frequentemente encontradas foram de escore 3, ou seja, presença de úlcera ativa ou crônica que abrangia 33 a 66% da Pars oesophagea, correspondendo a 43,2% (173/ 400) dos órgãos avaliados. Poucos estômagos (5/400) mostraram mucosas íntegras, i.e., eles foram escore 0, e correspondiam a 1,25% dos estômagos avaliados. Assim, a prevalência de lesão gástrica em suínos procedentes de granja suínica com sistema intensivo de criação é de 98,75%, sendo que a lesão mais frequentemente encontrada foi a úlcera ativa ou crônica que abrange 33 a 66% da Pars oesophagea.
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INTRODUÇÃO

Gastric lesions such as erosion and ulceration are common conditions especially in fattening pigs from intensive pork production (CAPPAI et al., 2013) and it is most commonly observed in 4 months old animals, which correspond to the period of fastest growth in pigs (RAMIS et al., 2006).

Ulcers that are observed in pig stomach affect the “pars oesophagea” and can cause acute gastric haemorrhage which result in either slow growth possibly due to chronic ulceration (FRIENDSHIP, 2014) or death (MELNICHOUK, 2002). Stomach lesions have a worldwide distribution (has been described in Spain, England, Denmark, Italy, Colombia, Brazil, Canada, Nigeria, Gana, South Africa, Australia) (MAKINDE and GOUS, 1998; MELNICHOUK, 2002; RAMIS et al., 2006; KOPINSKI and MCKENZIE, 2007; RODRIGUEZ et al., 2008; ARAÚJO JÚNIOR et al., 2012; SWABY and GREGORY, 2012; CAPPAI et al., 2013; HERSKIN RODRIGUEZ et al., 2008; ARAÚJO JÚNIOR et al., 2012; SWABY and GREGORY, 2012; CAPPAI et al., 2013; HERSKIN RODRIGUEZ et al., 2008; ARAÚJO JÚNIOR et al., 2012; SWABY and GREGORY, 2012). Since there is a lack of updated epidemiological information on gastric ulcers and few studies have been conducted on this subject in the State of São Paulo, the current study aims to establish the prevalence of gastric lesions in pigs from a intensive system pig farm that were slaughtered in a slaughterhouse in Franca region - SP, as well as to determine the severity of the lesions in the Pars oesophagea and to compare these to those previously reported.

Material and Methods

All procedures were performed in compliance with the Registration Protocol CEUA / UNIFRAN 038/13 approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Research at Universidade de Franca. The development of this research consisted on a quantitative and qualitative study of the macroscopic features of 400 stomachs from 33 to 66% of the Pars oesophagea; score 3: Presence of active or chronic ulcer covering less than 33% of the Pars oesophagea showing smooth epithelium and white glistening appearance of the Pars oesophagea: score 0 – Normal stomach - Pars oesophagea showing light to dark yellow discoloration and proliferated, rough and dull epithelium; there may be small erosions; score 2: Presence of active or chronic ulcer covering less than 33% of the Pars oesophagea; score 3: Presence of active or chronic ulcer covering from 33 to 66% of the Pars oesophagea; score 4: Presence of active or chronic ulcer covering more than 66% of the Pars oesophagea. Prevalence (p) was obtained by the ratio between the number of lesions of a certain score (d) at a given time and the total of
evaluated organs (n) at a given time (p=d/n). Data about the prevalence of each lesion extent in the total of evaluated organs were evaluated and subjected to descriptive statistics.

Results

The prevalence of gastric lesions in pigs slaughtered in Franca region - SP rated 98.75% (395/400) (Figure 1). Score-1 lesions (Figure 3) were observed in 16.5% of the animals (66/400) (Figure 1) and score-2 lesions (Figure 4) were found in 23% (92/400). Score-3 lesions (Figure 5), i.e. active or chronic ulcers covering from 33 to 66% of the Pars oesophagea, were most frequently observed, corresponding to 43.25% (173/400) of the evaluated organs. Intact mucosae, i.e., score 0 (Figure 2), represented only 1.25% (5/400) of the evaluated organs. Score-4 lesions, i.e. presence of active or chronic ulcer covering over 66% of the Pars oesophagea, represented 16% (64/400) of the animals (Figure 6).

Figure 1: Percentage of macroscopic lesion scores in finishing pigs and in culling sows from a farrow-to-finish farm that were sent to be slaughtered in a slaughterhouse in Franca region - SP, from October to November 2013 and in July 2014.

Figure 2: Score 0: Normal stomach - Pars oesophagea showing smooth epithelium; normal gastric mucosa.

Figure 3: Score 1: Parakeratosis - Pars oesophagea showing proliferated, rough and dull epithelium, and there may be small erosions.

Figure 4: Score 2: Presence of active or chronic ulcer covering less than 33% of the Pars oesophagea.
Figure 5: Score 3: Presence of active or chronic ulcer covering from 33 to 66% of the Pars oesophagea.

Figure 6: Score 4: Presence of active or chronic ulcer covering over 66% of the Pars oesophagea.

Discussion

The gastroesophageal ulcerations diagnosis in pigs was based on anatomopathological features typical of this disease, according to Morés et al. (2000). Thus, Ramis et al. (2006) reported that the gastroesophageal ulceration is found in pigs during the rearing and finishing phases (between 2-3 and 4-6 months of life) as well as in adult animals.

The prevalence could be higher if it is considered that this study included only animals in processing plant and did not consider the frequency of those that died from gastroesophageal ulceration in its different stages of growth on farms, as previously reported by other researchers (MELNICHOUK, 2002).

The type of injuries found, hyperkeratosis and the presence of active or chronic ulcer covering less than 33% of the Pars oesophagea, presence of active or chronic ulcer covering from 33 to 66% of the Pars oesophagea and presence of active or chronic ulcer covering over 66% of the Pars oesophagea (Figure 1) indicate a pathogenesis process with different stages, beginning with hyperkeratosis, erosion and finally becomes ulceration, as suggested by Rodriguez et al., 2008.

Different prevalence of gastric lesions rates has been reported in different surveys in Brazil and in other countries. The most recent study in the region of Franca was conducted in 1999 by Carvalho et al. who reported a prevalence of 64% of gastric lesions in pigs sent to slaughter in Ribeirão Preto, a city located 90 km away from the region evaluated in this study. Oliveira et al. (2009) reported a prevalence of 28.7% of gastric lesions of different degrees in 80 piglets in nursery phase slaughtered in a slaughterhouse in Rio Grande do Sul. Also, Oliveira et al. (2010) and Araújo Junior et al. (2012) reported a prevalence of 89.7% and 42% of gastric lesions in pigs sent to slaughter in Rio Grande do Sul State and Paraná State, respectively. The prevalence of gastric lesions in the present study (98.75%) was higher than those reported in the past years.

In Colombia, Rodriguez et al. (2008) established a prevalence of swine gastric ulcer of 34.88% in animals slaughtered at the abattoir. Research conducted in England by Swaby and Gregory (2012) reported a prevalence of 79.8% of gastroesophageal ulcers of different degrees in 9827 slaughter pigs from 60 farms. Majekodunmi et al. (2013) examined stomachs of 100 slaughtered pigs from an abattoir in Nigeria 21 (21%) of them had chronic ulcers. The findings of gastric ulcers reported in Brazil and in other countries are much higher than those obtained in this survey, which the presence of active or chronic ulcer represented 16% (64/400) of the animals (Figure 1).

Overall, score-1 lesions (Figure 3) were observed in 16.5% of the animals (66/400) (Figure 1) and score-2 lesions (Figure 4) were found in 23% (92/400) of them during the present experiment. These numbers may have emerged from the stress caused by feed withdrawal prior to slaughter, loading, transportation and unloading (MAKINDE and GOUS, 1998). Oliveira et al. (2009) consider that parakeratosis (score-1 lesion) precedes the gastroesophageal ulcer.

Swine diet composition may also trigger gastric lesion development. Erickson et al. (1980) consider that oats and barley are lesser ulcerogenic than corn and wheat, because of their...
It could partially explain the herein found results, since the feed given to the pigs in the present study was usually of the branny type, which uses wheat and corn as predominant energy components.

The practice of just providing food to the animals once a day forces a fasting period of almost 24 hours. This type of feeding management may cause score-2 (Figure 4) score-3 (Figure 5) and score-4 (Figure 6) gastric lesions in finishing-phase animals. According to Stolf (2012), mismanagement such as fasting for over 24 hours or non-punctual feeding management generate competition for food and difficulty in accessing the manger. These mismanagements may also lead to gastric lesions due to continuous hydrochloric acid production. Dry feed provision is another often observed practice in pig feeding. Such practice may lead to the occurrence of parakeratosis-type lesions (score 1) (STOLF, 2012).

The results found in the current study differ from the data presented by Dalla Costa et al. (2006) who reported 47.1% of animals showing normal gastroesophageal mucosa; 41.9% showing parakeratosis; 10.5% showing score-2 gastroesophageal lesions; and only 0.5% showing score-3 lesions.

The assessment done in the slaughterhouse to check the condition of the organs is of great value because it shows and quantifies the lesions in the “Pars oesophagea” in the stomachs of the examined pigs. The findings are a warning to pig farmers and they allow preventing gastric ulcers or milder lesions that compromise the productive performance of the animals and impair their weight gain. Therefore, it is necessary to be careful at the time of choosing the location to the facilities as well as to pay attention in aspects such as handling, nutrition, health, ambience, welfare, transportation and slaughter of animals in order to produce high quality animals and to minimize losses. Nowadays, stomach examination is not part of the meat inspection service in Brazil, so if it would to be adopted as a welfare feature it would need to be done as an additional procedure. Actually, economics and welfare concerns justify the monitoring of gastric lesions at slaughterhouses in order to diminish or eliminate the major risk factors and, if it is decided in future to introduce welfare features into post-mortem swine meat inspection, gastric lesions found in slaughterhouses should be included.
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